

What factors motivate users to *continue* to use an Information System?

Chanissara Arjpru

PhD Principal Supervisor: *Dr. Jim Underwood*

A dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Computing Sciences

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

2011

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Chanissara Arjpru

Acknowledgement

Thank you Dr. Jim Underwood for supervising, comforting and making me graduate.

Thank you Prof. Jie Lu for supporting me to continue pursue a PhD degree.

Thank you to all thesis examiners who provide constructive feedbacks to improve my thesis.

Thank you mom, dad & my brother who always support in every possible way.

Thank you my loving, caring & supporting Jim Ganaha who always there to convince me to believe in my potential.

Thank you to the ones who continue generously support throughout my PhD journey (Julia Prior, Dr. Mark Borman, A/Prof. Tom Hintz, Prof. Graham Winley, Dr. Denise Tolhurst, Bob Baker, Dr. Kai Riemer, Dr. Olivera Marjanovic, A/Prof. Deborah Bunker)

Thank you for those who do not giving up on me.

Table of contents

Chapter 1: Introduction..... 1

1.1 Background of continuing use studies.....2

1.2 Objectives of the research.....5

Chapter 2: Previous Work on Adoption and Continuing Use of Information Systems.....8

2.1 Why is it worth studying “a continuous use of an Information System in an organisation?.....10

2.2 Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT) (Roger 1995)..... 13

2.3 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) (Davis, Bagozzi & Warshaw 1989).....23

2.4 A Post-Acceptance Model of IS Continuance (Bhattacharjee 2001a)..... 32

2.5 Expectation Confirmation Theory (Oliver 1980)..... 36

2.6 Discontinuing use of an Information System..... 39

2.7 Motivation-Hygiene Theory (Herzberg 1966)..... 42

2.8 Mandatory and Voluntary use of an Information System..... 45

2.9 Chapter Conclusion.....48

Chapter 3: A proposed study of a Continuous Use of an Information System.....51

3.1 The respondents and system usage environment54

3.2 The interview study.....55

3.3 The survey study.....58

3.4 Ethics of the study.....62

Chapter 4: An Interview Study of User Satisfaction and User Dissatisfaction in a Continuous Use of an Information System65

4.1 The study context.....65

4.2 The demographic of interviewees.....66

4.3 Interview Questions.....67

4.4 Results: Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction.....69

4.5 Results: Confirmation of expectations86

4.6 Results: Continuing Use.....94

4.7 Results: Voluntary Usage vs. Mandatory Usage.....121

4.8 Chapter Conclusion.....125

4.9 Motivation for the survey study.....129

Chapter 5: A Survey Study of what influence the individual continuous use of an Information System131

5.1 The survey study.....131

5.2 The study context.....132

5.3 The demographic of the respondents.....156

5.4 Data Analysis.....158

5.5 Chapter Conclusion.....205

Chapter 6: Discussion..... 208

6.1 Two types of continuing use of the system.....209

6.2 System usefulness210

6.3 System ability to support an individual growth and status gained..... 211

6.4 Satisfiers versus Dissatisfiers..... 212

6.5 Voluntary use.....216

6.6 Contributions and extensions to existing theory.....218

6.7 Implications for practice.....221

6.8 Limitations.....224

6.9 Recommendations for future research.....225

6.10 Conclusion.....227

Appendices..... 229

Appendix A: Interview Questions..... 229

Appendix B: Interview Coding Evidences.....231

Appendix C: A Questionnaire Survey..... 238

Appendix D: The comments from pilot test of a survey study.....252

Appendix E: A Letter of an Ethics Approval.....254

Appendix F: Publications.....256

References.....257

List of figures

Figure 2.1 A Model of stages in the Innovation-Decision Process
(Rogers 1995).....15

Figure 2.2 Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, Bagozzi &
Warshaw 1989).....24

Figure 2.3 A Post-Acceptance Model of IS Continuance
(Bhattacharjee 2001a)..... 32

Figure 5.1 A proposed model of an Individual Continuous Use
of an Information System.....132

Figure 5.2 A prediction of “Active Continuation” from
“Satisfaction”, “No Dissatisfaction” and
“Voluntary Usage”188

Figure 5.3 A prediction of “Passive Continuation”
from “Satisfaction”, “No Dissatisfaction” and
“Voluntary Usage”190

Figure 5.4 A prediction of “Satisfaction” from “Status”,
“System Usefulness”, “Easy to use & Support”,
“System Flexibility” and “System Reliability”.....193

Figure 5.5 A prediction of “No Dissatisfaction” from “Status”,
“System Usefulness”, “Easy to use & Support”,
“System Flexibility” and “System Reliability”.....195

Figure 5.6 A prediction of “Active Continuation” from “Status”,
“System Usefulness”, “Easy to Use & Support”,
“System Flexibility” and “System Reliability”.....198

Figure 5.7 A prediction of “Passive Continuation” from “Status”,
“System Usefulness”, “Easy to use & Support”,
“System Flexibility” and “System Reliability”.....200

Figure 5.8 A comparison for a prediction of
“an active continuation” from “satisfaction” and
“no dissatisfaction” between a group of users who
perceived that using the system is compulsory for their
job and a group of users who perceived that using the
system is not compulsory for their job.....202

Figure 5.9: A comparison for a prediction of
“a passive continuation” from “satisfaction” and
“no dissatisfaction” between a group of users who perceived
that using the system is compulsory for their job and
a group of users who perceived that using the system is not
compulsory for their job.....204

Lists of Tables

Table 4.1 Summary of the reasons that make the interviewees feel good and the reasons that make the interviewees feel bad for the first semester and the subsequence semesters of using the system.....85

Table 4.2 Summary of the reasons to cause confirmed/beyond /increased expectations and unmet/decreased expectations for the first semester and the subsequence semesters of using the system.....93

Table 4.3 Summary of the reasons to encourage the interviewees to continue and/or intend to continue using the system and the reasons to cause the interviewees limited and/or discontinue to use the system for the first semester and the subsequence semesters of using the system.....110

Table 4.4 Summary of reasons to encourage the interviewees to feel good, to continue using the system and to cause them confirmation/beyond/increasing of expectations for the first semester and the subsequence semesters of using the system.....112

Table 4.5 Summary of reasons to cause the interviewees to feel bad, to discontinue to use the system and to cause them unmet /decreasing of expectations for the first semester and the subsequence semesters of using the system.....	113
Table 5.1 Numbers of respondents classified by age group for each gender.....	156
Table 5.2 Number of respondents in each educational level	156
Table 5.3 Numbers of respondents in each industry type.....	157
Table 5.4 Numbers of respondents in each position.....	158
Table 5.5 Numbers of respondents who use each type of system.....	158
Table 5.6 The result of factor analysis for items “satisfaction”	159
Table 5.7 The result of factor analysis for items “no dissatisfaction”	161
Table 5.8 The result of factor analysis for items “voluntary usage”	162
Table 5.9 The result of factor analysis for items “system evaluation on system quality”	163
Table 5.10 The result of factor analysis for items “system evaluation on system ability to support the individual job”	167
Table 5.11 The result of factor analysis for items “expectations on system quality”	172
Table 5.12 The result of factor analysis for items “expectations on system ability to support the individual job”	177

Table 5.13 The result of factor analysis for items “an intention to
continue using the system”182

Table 5.14 Reliability Test for each factor.....185

Table 5.15 A prediction of “an active continuation” from “satisfaction”,
“no dissatisfaction” and “voluntary usage”187

Table 5.16 A prediction of “a passive continuation” from “satisfaction”,
“no dissatisfaction” and “voluntary usage” 189

Table 5.17 A prediction of “satisfaction” from “system flexibility”,
“system reliability”, “system usefulness”,
“easy to use & support” and “status” 192

Table 5.18 A prediction of “no dissatisfaction” from “easy to use &
support”, “system flexibility”, “system reliability”,
“system usefulness” and “status”194

Table 5.19 A prediction of “an active continuation” from “easy to use &
support”, “system flexibility”, “system reliability”,
“usefulness” and “status”196

Table 5.20 A prediction of “a passive continuation” from “easy to use &
support”, “system flexibility”, “system reliability”, “system
usefulness” and “status”199

Table 5.21 A comparison of a prediction of “an active continuation” from
“satisfaction”/”no dissatisfaction” from the respondents who
perceived that using the system is not compulsory in their
job and from the respondents who perceived that using the
system is compulsory in their job.....201

Table 5.22 A comparison of a prediction of “a passive continuation” from “satisfaction”/”no dissatisfaction” from the respondents who perceived that using the system is not compulsory in their job and from the respondents who perceived that using the system is compulsory in their job.....203

Abstract

The discontinued use of an Information System is shown by previous research to be a major aspect of the failure of Information Systems projects. Major reasons for the discontinued use of an Information System include inability to meet user expectations, to satisfy users and to avoid user dissatisfaction. One limitation of previous studies was that they assumed satisfaction and dissatisfaction were bipolar opposites.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the role of expectations, satisfaction and dissatisfaction on the individuals' behaviors in their continuing use of an Information System to support their job in an organization.

Our study consists of an interview study and a survey study. Both studies were conducted with employees in organizations in Australia, who were voluntarily using the system to support their job. Analysis techniques used to analyse the results were content analysis, factor analysis and regression analysis.

Five major findings were reported from our studies. First, there are two patterns of a continuous use of an Information System; a passive continuation and an active continuation. Second, system usefulness is an important factor in the employee continuing use of the system. Third, system ability to support an individual growth and the status gained by use were new factors to encourage the continuing use. Fourth, not all factors that make individuals satisfied were separated from the factors that cause dissatisfaction. Fifth, the employees'

perceptions of whether they have choice to use or not to use the system affect the ways they use the system.

The major contribution of our study is for better assisting IT implementation management with an overall aim of increasing Information Systems continuous use to support the individuals' job in an organisation.